

**From:** [Rosemary Hibbler](#)  
**To:** [Frame, Susannah](#)  
**Cc:** [Don Hutt](#); [Gary A. Peterson](#); [Todd W. Wyatt](#)  
**Subject:** RE: upcoming story on KING  
**Date:** Monday, November 4, 2019 8:34:10 AM  
**Attachments:** [NEXTITLE returned checks and signed letter 8-6-19.pdf](#)  
[VIEW PAYOFF statement 5-6-2019.pdf](#)  
[2-92-410-18A VIEW Termination Amendment VIEW SIGNED.pdf](#)

---

\*External Email – Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information\*

Corina Gregorias and several others were aware of our plans and communicated via email and in person with title and our loan broker. Not only was commerce aware, I contacted several State Representatives for help and extensively detailed our plan. We never wanted to terminate the contract. We initially requested a subordination to borrow a much smaller amount. We were extremely transparent with our intentions.

We signed the termination contract in April of 2019. Commerce decided to undue termination after your first story aired in August. Short an email with an attached letter addressed to title company, Commerce has not reached out to VIEW directly nor have we been notified of any violation. Also the contract does not have a clause preventing us from further encumbrances. Commerce has not contacted VIEW directly. They have not returned money to VIEW.

With regard to my deed of trust. I have personally guaranteed debts for VIEW. The deed of trust was put in place to ensure one particular debt is paid in the event VIEW cease operations. I have documentation to include a UCC filing against me to support this. I am not trying to personally gain or cash out. I have never requested money or have been paid money associated with that deed of trust.

This entire story is unfair and the continued attacks against us border harassment. We should not be persecuted by Commerce when we have done nothing wrong.

Again, I have a years' worth of emails to prove we not only had permission from Commerce but they were involved and was well aware of our intent from the onset.

Rosemary Hibbler

-----Original Message-----

From: Frame, Susannah <sframe@king5.com>  
Sent: Saturday, November 2, 2019 4:24 PM  
To: Rosemary Hibbler <rhibbler@veteransworkshop.org>  
Cc: Don Hutt <dhutt@veteransworkshop.org>; Gary A. Peterson <gpcrc@hotmail.com>; Todd W. Wyatt <todd@carsonnoel.com>  
Subject: Re: upcoming story on KING

Thanks for writing back. The Dept. Of Commerce told us they sent the check back to you; as they decided not to terminate the contract. On Monday I will ask them why they haven't notified VIEW of this major change. Did you not receive the check?

I have spoken to the very top officials at Commerce in depth about this, including their Policy and Legislative Director Jasmine Vasavada, Director of Communications, Barbara Dunn, Media Relations Director Penny Thomas, Housing Trust Fund Manager Corina Gregorias, and I know Commerce Director Lisa Brown has personally weighed in on this.

The violations they mentioned included borrowing money against the properties without their consent, including the Deed of Trust recently filed in Pierce County in your name- further encumbering the properties by \$200,000.

I will definitely touch base with Commerce again on Monday. The last time I spoke with them was Friday.

Thank you,  
Susannah

Sent from my iPhone

> On Nov 2, 2019, at 12:59 PM, Rosemary Hibbler <rhobbler@veteransworkshop.org> wrote:

>

> \*External Email – Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests  
> for Login Information\*

>

>

> Ms. Frame

>

> We have not been notified of any contract violation. Additionally, we no longer have a contract with Department of Commerce.

>

> We agreed to the terms of contract termination as outlined by Department of Commerce. We repaid the \$175,466.00 grant we received in 1993 along with an additional \$100,000.00 in interest as negotiated between VIEW and Department of Commerce.

>

> We were extremely transparent with Commerce regarding the financial challenges facing us to include the need for repairs on the houses. We negotiated the termination for more than a year before finalizing. Had there been a concern or violations, Commerce did not and has not notified us. Absent this "warning" there has not been any notification of any violation.

>

> With regard to talking to you. I would welcome the opportunity to talk to you should you ask. However, instead of asking, you demand I give answer to you by forcing a camera in my face. I don't owe you an answer or my attention. Your "investigations" have caused me to receive death threats. Someone has threatened to hang me from a tree. My vehicle has been vandalized. I have been spit on. My reputation as well as the VIEW's reputation and credibility has been destroyed. Why would I speak to you knowing that your past reports have lacked impartiality. If I thought you would be fair, even in this instance, I would gladly talk to you. But your historical approach to VIEW and myself makes it impossible and improbable.

>

> Again, we are no longer under contract with Department of Commerce. Both sides mutually agreed to terminate the contract. Had there been a violation, Commerce should have addressed it prior to contract termination in April.

>

> We satisfied our debt with Department of Commerce and as such the taxpayers of Washington. We would like to have copies of the alleged violation so we can address any legal action accordingly.

>

> Thank You

>

> Rosemary Hibbler

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Frame, Susannah <sframe@king5.com>

> Sent: Friday, November 1, 2019 4:03 PM

> To: Rosemary Hibbler <rhobbler@veteransworkshop.org>

> Cc: Don Hutt <dhutt@veteransworkshop.org>; Gary A. Peterson

> <gpcrc@hotmail.com>

> Subject: upcoming story on KING

>

> Hi there,

> I know that Rosemary has made it clear she doesn't want to talk to me and is disinterested in our reports. But it is only proper that I let you know the next story is scheduled to run on Monday, November 4 at 6:30, 9 and 11 pm.

> This story is about the VIEW's contract with the WA State Dept. of Commerce / Housing Trust Fund. Commerce

tells us the VIEW has violated the contract and is pursuing legal action because of it.

> If you would like more information about the story or would like to provide a statement for me to include, please write back or call.

>

> Thank you,

> Susannah

>

>

> Susannah Frame

> Chief Investigative Reporter

> KING 5

> 206-448-3876

> Read Susannah's bio

> Follow me on Twitter

> Like my FB page

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Frame, Susannah

> Sent: Sunday, August 11, 2019 8:43 PM

> To: Rosemary Hibbler <rhibbler@veteransworkshop.org>

> Cc: Don Hutt <dhutt@veteransworkshop.org>; Gary A. Peterson

> <gpcrc@hotmail.com>

> Subject: Re: upcoming KING story

>

> Gotcha. Thank you Rosemary.

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>> On Aug 11, 2019, at 7:54 PM, Rosemary Hibbler <rhibbler@veteransworkshop.org> wrote:

>>

>> \*External Email – Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests

>> for Login Information\*

>>

>> Ms. Frame,

>>

>> I am no longer interested in the story. I was warned by several government officials and various communications directors about you and the fact you're not interested in truth, just the sensationalism of the story. While I respect your career, I am no longer interested in the stories you report. I held out hope that you would be honest and fair.

>>

>> Your first story with individuals selected by Tammy Taylor, implied that your entire panel were Veterans who had been severely harmed by me. 3 of the panelist were not Veterans. 4 of your panelist were not and are not a part of the lawsuit. They are not due any money from V.I.E.W. and were not employed during the time in which we experienced severe financial hardship. Were the extra panelist necessary to give volume to the story? Mr. Garwick quoted being money owed that is 16 times more than the amount he and his attorney declared in court documents was due him. Was the exaggerated dollar amount used to influence the presentation and hence the perceptions of the public and government officials?

>>

>> You reported Mr. Garwick suffered as a result of my actions. Mr. Garwick proclaimed severe poverty, the photos and videos I have show that Mr. Garwick had enough money to purchase beer. We found 18 plastic grocery bags of empty beer cans in his room in May and another 2 stacked levels of opened beer cans July 1st. ( See the photos taken July 1st.) V.I.E.W. housing is meant to be clean and sober living. Mr. Garwick had no respect for our housing or the others living there that could have been affected by his actions.

>>

>> Mr. Garwick claimed he lost weight as a result of my actions. I took no actions against him. I stayed Mr. Garwick's eviction for more than a year. He did not pay rent and wasn't required to pay any utilities. I stayed his eviction until I was overruled by other board members. Would presenting that fact that Mr. Garwick was not working for VIEW while on food stamps made the story less impactful? After all, it is the truth.

>>

>> I understand journalists need a crises to dramatize news. However, this is not a crises but joint fabrications. You ambushed me in court demanding I give answer to the Veterans. The people in court whom you wanted me to give answer were not Veterans!! Just as all of your panelist were not Veterans to include Tammy Taylor. I would gladly give answer to the 3 Veterans on your panel involved in the lawsuit. If I am to address anyone I would like it to be the correct people.

>>

>> You chose to aid Tammy Taylor in attempting to destroy everyone's  
>> life not just mine. I personally asked you to be fair and report the truth.  
>> But, as I was told by someone who has previously dealt with you, fair  
>> doesn't get ratings and truth is underrated. You had the opportunity  
>> to present a true story, a story that would have made a difference  
>> and still accomplished the goal. You could have spoken to those  
>> Veterans who would have spoken truth. Those Veterans who have  
>> benefited and are still here despite the media. You could have spoken  
>> to the Veterans who heard our mortgage lender say that Tammy Taylor  
>> called the president of his bank. (as recently as last week)

>>

>> We know Tammy Taylor is your source of information because she sent out an email to 13,000 Veteran organization discrediting us and tarnishing our name. Mine especially. You gave her a platform. She operates under the guise of "helping Veterans". You were forwarded the emails she sent to Department of Commerce. You have evidence of her harassment toward myself and VIEW. Is that not worth reporting?

>>

>> At the end of our interview you said, "you are very smart, you are articulate, you are educated, are you manipulating me?" You were asked, "had she not been a woman of color would you have asked that question?" You failed to give answer. Have you asked Tammy Taylor, your source of information who is a Caucasian woman with 12 felonies, ((4)theft, (4) identity theft, and (4)forgery) if she is manipulating you? If you have not posed the question is it safe to say that the color of my skin carries the burden of guilt? Tammy Taylor and I bear the same criminal history. Is manipulation reserved for African Americans?

>>

>> I understand that prejudicial information, such as prior arrests, is more likely to be associated with African Americans in the media. Is that why you had two (2) Caucasian non Veterans sitting on the front row of your interview panel and the two (2) African American Veterans on the second and back row? Was that intentional? Was it not important to have the Veterans first since the story hinged on the wrong that had befall them? Would the story not be as credible if you had shown your interview with them? Did you only show the story of the Caucasian Veteran to strengthen the audience cognitive association between African Americans and criminality? Was it important to show the media clip of the senior Caucasian Veteran who is not involved in the lawsuit verses the younger African American Veteran who is? Was / is your message and your story intentionally biased?

>>

>> With regard to your second story, I have phone records and emails to show a pattern of harassment while at work from my landlord. If I thought you would be fair, I would share. It's clear that whatever truth I present will be tainted by my past. Your story cannot embarrass me more than the first one. It cannot bring anymore angst.

>>

>> I hold no hope that you will retract some of the incorrect statements made. I hold no hope in accurate reporting.

>>

>> I thank you for informing me but again, I am no longer interested in this story.

>>

>> Best regards,

>>

>> Rosemary Hibbler

>>

>> From: Frame, Susannah <sframe@king5.com>

>> Sent: Friday, August 9, 2019 5:35 PM

>> To: Rosemary Hibbler <rhibbler@veteransworkshop.org>

>> Cc: Don Hutt <dhutt@veteransworkshop.org>; Gary A. Peterson

>> <gpcrc@hotmail.com>

>> Subject: upcoming KING story

>>  
>> Hi Rosemary,  
>> I wanted to let you know that our next story on the VIEW will air on Monday night. Most likely at 6:30, 10 and 11. It is about the new documentation we received regarding rent payments.  
>>  
>> Thank you,  
>> Susannah Frame  
>>  
>>  
>> Susannah Frame  
>> Chief Investigative Reporter  
>> KING 5  
>> 206-448-3876  
>> Read Susannah's  
>> bio<<https://nam04.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fww.king5.com%2Fabout-us%2Fteam-bios%2Fsusannah-frame%2F94586869&data=01%7C01%7Csframe%40king5.com%7Cd333fd7cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd879b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=8RJ00UWHqYYHi0pljBQGYdAiBMXNFvaA%2FhobnmJdZ42g%3D&reserved=0>> Follow me on  
>> Twitter<<https://nam04.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2FSFrameK5&data=01%7C01%7Csframe%40king5.com%7Cd333fd7cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=yxllTOdEa%2BDfqgTYrQXMVveA8V6TUIJ0dojth3s0Ie0%3D&reserved=0>>  
>> 2  
>> F%2Ftwitter.com%2FSFrameK5&data=01%7C01%7Csframe%40king5.com%7Cd333fd7cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=yxllTOdEa%2BDfqgTYrQXMVveA8V6TUIJ0dojth3s0Ie0%3D&reserved=0>  
>> 7  
>> cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=yxllTOdEa%2BDfqgTYrQXMVveA8V6TUIJ0dojth3s0Ie0%3D&reserved=0>  
>> a=yxllTOdEa%2BDfqgTYrQXMVveA8V6TUIJ0dojth3s0Ie0%3D&reserved=0>  
>> Like my FB  
>> page<[https://nam04.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2Fsusannahframeking5%2F%3Fref%3Daymt\\_homepage\\_panel&data=01%7C01%7Csframe%40king5.com%7Cd333fd7cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=4SqRP7x3lG15lPRQo915ztZzmUy%2F8jNo0rwvanhcJ1g%3D&reserved=0](https://nam04.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2Fsusannahframeking5%2F%3Fref%3Daymt_homepage_panel&data=01%7C01%7Csframe%40king5.com%7Cd333fd7cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=4SqRP7x3lG15lPRQo915ztZzmUy%2F8jNo0rwvanhcJ1g%3D&reserved=0)>  
>> 2  
>> Fwww.facebook.com%2Fsusannahframeking5%2F%3Fref%3Daymt\_homepage\_panel  
>> &  
>> data=01%7C01%7Csframe%40king5.com%7Cd333fd7cf2094ce7f5e808d71ed06797%7Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=4SqRP7x3lG15lPRQo915ztZzmUy%2F8jNo0rwvanhcJ1g%3D&reserved=0>  
>> 7  
>> Cccd8a79b7268413b878971f8b6f4c0df%7C0&sdata=4SqRP7x3lG15lPRQo915ztZzmUy%2F8jNo0rwvanhcJ1g%3D&reserved=0>  
>> U  
>> y%2F8jNo0rwvanhcJ1g%3D&reserved=0>  
>>  
>> <IMG\_1288.jpg>  
>> <IMG\_1295.jpg>